

Use of immunoglobulin-binding bacterial proteins in immunodetection.

Belkis Ferrer-Cosme^{1,2}, Albert Vera³, Angel Justiz Vaillant⁴,

¹Immunology Laboratory. Clinical Laboratory. "Saturnino Lora Torres" Provincial Teaching Clinical Surgical Hospital. Province of Santiago de Cuba. Cuba.

 ²Higher Institute of Medical Sciences of Santiago de Cuba. Province of Santiago. Cuba.
³Immunology Section. Department of Pre-Clinical Sciences. University of Medical Sciencesof Cienfuegos. Province of Cienfuegos. Cuba.

⁴Department of Para-Clinical Sciences. The University of the West Indies. St. Augustine. Trinidad and Tobago

*Corresponding author's mailing address: belkismariaferrercosme2@gmail.com

Received: 09/09/2023 Revised: 19/10/2023 Published 17/12/2023

ABSTRACT

One of the aims of this study was to make universal chimeric conjugates to react withboth avian and mammalian immunoglobulins in enzyme-linked immunosorbent assays (ELISAs). The periodate method was used in the conjugation process of cross-linking horseradish peroxidase to immunoglobulin-binding proteins (IBP) including staphylococcal protein A (SpA), streptococcal protein G (SpG) and peptostreptococcal protein L (SpL). By mixing up these three conjugates another four hybrid protein conjugates were created including protein LA (SpLA), protein LG (SpLG), protein AG (SpAG) and protein LAG (PLAG). Thirty- five ELISAs were standardized by a probabilistic combination of these immunoreagents. By using a panel of mainly mammalian immunoglobulins their reproducibility was checked by the determination of coefficient of variations (CV) for each one of the IgG-IBP binding. The source of immunoglobulins was their purification by affinity chromatography using a commercially available kit (PURE-1A). We conclude that the single and hybrid immunoglobulin-binding protein were effective in their binding capacity to immunoglobulins from a variety of mammalian species.

Keywords: Animals, bacterial proteins, enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA)



1. Introduction

Several bacterial immunoglobulin (Ig)-receptors have been identified. They have proved to be powerful tools for binding, detection, and purification of immunoglobulins [1]. The better studied bacterial Ig receptors include the protein A (SpA) of *Staphylococcus aureus* [2]; proteinG (SpG) of *Streptococci* [3,4]; and protein L (SpL) originally isolated from the cell wall of the anaerobic bacterium *Peptostreptococcus magnus* [5].

These bacterial proteins displayed on the cell wall of microorganisms play an important role in bacterial escape mechanisms from the immune system. They cause activation of the complement system by the classical pathway, polyclonal activation of B-lymphocytes, inhibition of phagocytosis and other effects [6-8]. In addition, they have the biological property of binding to a wide range of mammalian and non-mammalian immunoglobulins.

This binding does not interfere with the antigen binding sites on the immunoglobulin receptors. These receptors have been called immunoglobulin-binding protein, IBP [9-14]. Protein A and Ghave been used as immunological tools in serological tests used in the immunodiagnosis of infectious diseases, such as Borrelia burgdorferi in zoo animals [14]. Ongoing studies suggest that the bacterial Ig receptors are also potential tools in biomedical research, therapy of human diseases, biotechnology, and industry.

Several bacterial immunoglobulins (Ig)-receptors have been identified in recent years. Indeed, they have proved to be robust tools for binding, detection, and purification of immunoglobulins.Among the better-studied bacterial Ig-receptors include the protein A (SpA) of Staphylococcus aureus, protein G (SpG) of Streptococci, and protein L (SpL) originally isolated from the cell wall of the anaerobic bacterium Peptostreptococcus magnus. These bacterial antigens displayed on the cell wall of microorganisms play an essential role in bacterial escape mechanisms from the immune system. They cause the complement system's activation by the classical pathway, polyclonal activation of Blymphocytes, phagocytosis inhibition, and other effects [1-5].

Besides, they have the biological property of binding to a wide range of mammalian and non-mammalian immunoglobulins. This binding does not interfere with the antigen-binding sites on the immunoglobulin receptors. They have been called immunoglobulin-binding protein, IBP. SpA and SpG have been used as immunological reagents in serological tests used in the immunodiagnosis of infectious microorganisms, such as Borrelia burgdorferi, in zoo animals. Ongoing studies suggest that the immunoglobulin-binding proteins (IBP) are also practical tools in biomedical research and biotechnology [6-12].

SpA has molecular weight (MW) approximately 42 kDa [1]. It has the capacity to bind to the Fcfragment of IgG produced by many animal species, including humans, dogs, rabbits, hamsters, monkeys, and others [6,13,14]. The native SpA consists of five domains. Of these, four-show high structural homology, containing approximately 58 amino acids and have



the capacity of binding to Fc regions of IgG [1]

SpG is a type III bacterial Fc receptor. It is a small globular protein produced by several Streptococcal species and comprises 2 or 3 nearly identical domains, each containing 55 aminoacids (aa). SpG binds to the Fc regions of IgG from many mammalian species [15–17].

The molecule contains five homologous "B" repeats of 72-76 aa, and responsible for the interaction with Ig-L chains [18]. SpL is composed of an alpha-helix packed against a 4-strandedbeta-sheet [19]. The SpL binds firmly to human kappa light chain subclasses I, III, and IV from the five human Ig classes. Also, SpL binds to other mammalian Ig molecules [5].

In this paper several Immunoglobulins from diverse animal species were purified and used for the standardization of 35 enzyme-linked immunosorbent assays based on immunoglobulin- binding proteins. Their affinity, intra- and inter-assay coefficient of variation were assessed as ameasure of the reproducibility of assays, and surprisingly they were all reproducible and sensitive enough for the evaluation of the different affinity of IBP to these antibodies.

2. Materials and Methods

A commercial protein-A affinity chromatography called PURE-1A (Sigma-Aldrich.) was used to purify mammalian immunoglobulins from the serum of different mammalian species including horse, mu le, dog, coyote, and others. [20]. The instructions of the manufacturer were followed in performing this procedure. Briefly Anti-SpA containing serum is first loaded onto the Protein A Cartridge where the IgG is immobilized. The Protein A Cartridge is then washed to remove excess unbound proteins. The Desalting Cartridge is readied for use by reactivating with HEPESbuffer. The Protein A Cartridge and Desalting Cartridge are then connected via the Luer lock fittings and the Elution Buffer is introduced. The eluate contains the purified IgG at a physiological pH. Both cartridges may be regenerated and stored for future use. The 10% non- denaturing sodium dodecyl sulphate polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (SDS-PAGE) of sera and purified immunoglobulins was carried out [21].

Other immunoglobulins used in these experiments are the ostrich IgY purified by affinity chromatography [22] and commercially available IgGs from the pigs, mice, cats, rats, rabbits, and bovine IgG (Sigma-Aldrich).





Figure 1: The 10% non-denaturing SDS-PAGE of sera and purified immunoglobulins (IgGs). Lane 1 molecular weight (MW) marker, lane 2 mule serum, lane 3 mule IgG, lane 4 donkey serum, lane 5 donkey IgG, lane 6 horse serum, lane 7 horse IgG, lane 8 dog serum, lane 9dog IgG, lane 10 skunk serum, lane 11 skunk IgG, lane 12 coyote serum, lane 13 coyote IgG, lane 14 raccoon serum and lane 15 raccoon IgG. Purified IgGs have a molecular weight of approximately 150 Kda.

The chicken IgY fraction was isolated by the chloroform-polyethylene glycol (PEG) method [23]. The chicken egg was washed with warm water and the egg yolk was separatedfrom the egg white. The membrane was broken, and the egg yolk collected and diluted 1:3 in phosphate buffered saline (PBS), pH 7.4. To 1/3 of the egg yolk mixture an equal volume of chloroform was added, the mixture was then shaken and centrifuged for 30 min (1000×g, RT). The supernatant was decanted and mixed with PEG 6000 (12%, w/v), stirred and incubatedfor 30 min (RT). The mixture was then centrifuged as previously described. The precipitate containing IgY was dissolved in PBS (pH 7.4) at a volume equivalent to 1/6 of the original volume of the egg yolk and dialyzed against 1 L of PBS (pH: 7.4 for 24 h at 4°C). The chickenIgY was removed from the dialysis tubing. IgY concentration was determined by the Bradford method. IgY samples were stored at – 20°C. Horseradish peroxidase (HRP) labelled SpL, SpA or SpG conjugates were prepared using the periodate method described by Nakane and Kawoi [24,25]. The PLAG-HRP conjugate along with Sp LA-HRP, Sp LG-HRP

and SpAG-HRP were prepared by mixing at room temperature 50 μl of each SpL-HRP, SpA-HRP and SpG-HRP.

Basic ELISA protocol.

The 96 well microtitre plate is coated overnight at 4°C with 2 μ g/ μ l per well of a mixture of SpA, SpG, SpL or their combinations SpAG, SpLG, SpLA or PLAG in carbonate-bicarbonate buffer pH 9.6. Then plate is treated with bovine serum albumin solution and washed 4X with PBS-Tween. 50 μ l of immunoglobulins (1 mg/ml) is added and incubated for 1.30h at room temperature and the microplate is then rewashed 4X with PBS-Tween. Then 50 μ l of a peroxidase-labeled-bacterial protein diluted to 1:3000-1:5000 in PBS-non-fat milk is added to each well and incubated for 1.30h at RT. After that, the plate is washed 4X with PBS-Tween. Pipette 50 μ l of 3,3',5,5' - tetramethylbenzidine (TMB; Sigma-Aldrich) to each well. The reactionis stopped with 50 μ l of 3M H2SO4 solution. The plate is visually assessed for the development of colour and read in a microplate reader at 450 nm. The assay is performed 3 times during the same day for the calculation of the intra-assay coefficient of variation, and once in 3 alternative days. A cut-off point is calculated as the mean of the optical density of negative controls times two [25].

The immunoglobulin-binding protein affinity to immunoglobulins are classified as weekly (+) and goes from the lower limit of the cut-off point to below the cut-off point times two value. Moderate binding affinity (++) goes from the lower limit of cut-off point times two to the belowvalue of the cut-off point times 3 and strong binding affinity (++) is an equal or higher value of the cut-off point times three. For instance, the mode of cut-off point West Ind. J. Immunol. 2023; Vol.2 (2), 18-46 | DOI: https://doi.org/10.53069/WIJI/0000013



calculated among the 35 assays was 0.30. The binding affinity of immunoglobulin bindingprotein can be calculated as follows:

- From 0.30-0.59 (+)
- From 0.60-0.89 (++)
- From > 0.90 (+++)

Statistical analysis

Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (SPSS) version 25 was used for the calculation of thecoefficients of variation.

Ethical approval: This research was approved by the University of West Indies (UWI) EthicsCommittee (Mona Campus) in Jamaica, West Indies.

3. Results and Discusion

Table 1. SpA-SpA sandwich ELISA

Immunoglobulins	XOD results	Binding affinity	Coefficient of	CV inter-
			variation (CV)	assay(%)
			intra-assay	
			(%)	
Mule IgG	0.40	+	2.53	4.44
Donkey IgG	0.35	+	4.89	6.35
Horse IgG	0.56	++	3.67	5.38
Dog lgG	1.44	+++	3.03	4.71
Skunk IgG	1.10	+++	2.28	4.11
Coyote IgG	0.80	++	4.18	7.09
Raccoon IgG	1.52	+++	4.26	4.75
Ostrich IgY	0.42	+	3.30	9.68
Pig IgG	1.56	+++	4.14	7.47
Mouse IgG	1.48	+++	2.27	4.03
Bovine IgG	0.65	++	3.54	4.15
Rabbit IgG	1.28	+++	2.73	4.02
Chicken IgY	0.15	-	2.30	3.89
Cat IgG	1.46	+++	3.36	5.70
Rat IgG	0.39	+	4.09	6.83

Table 1 shows the SpA-SpA sandwich ELISA. It was very effective. It demonstrated the strongbinding affinity of IgG from diverse mammalian species including dog, skunk, raccoon, pig, mouse, rabbit, and cat. The chicken IgY did not bind to SpA. Some of these interactions have been previously cited [2,9,6,25-28].

Table 2. SpG-SpG Sandwich ELISA



Immunoglobulins	XOD results	Binding affinity	Coefficient of	CV inter-
			variation (CV)	assay(%)
			intra-assay	
			(%)	
Mule IgG	0.96	+++	3.57	5.28
Donkey IgG	0.80	+++	3.89	5.35
Horse IgG	1.05	+++	4.98	7.38
Dog lgG	1.33	+++	3.03	8.70
Skunk IgG	1.24	+++	5.63	9.23
Coyote IgG	0.47	+	2.70	6.05
Raccoon IgG	0.42	+	3.28	6.60
Ostrich IgY	0.08	-	2.85	8.68
Pig IgG	1.36	+++	5.09	10.3
Mouse IgG	1.55	+++	3.06	7.00
Bovine IgG	1.49	+++	4.96	8.63
Rabbit IgG	1.25	+++	5.83	9.28
Chicken IgY	0.14	-	3.50	5.06
Cat IgG	0.18	-	3.01	4.60
Rat IgG	0.93	+++	5.83	8.74

Table 2 shows the SpG-SpG sandwich ELISA, which depicted the interactions between SpG andIgGs from mules, donkeys, horses, dogs, skunks, pigs, mice, bovines, rats, and rabbits. None of the avian immunoglobulins bound to SpG. Some of these interactions were confirmatory results as horse, pig, bovine and rabbit reactivity [3,4].

Table 3. SpL-SpL Sandwich ELISA

Immunoglobulins	XOD results	Binding affinity	Coefficient of	CV inter-
			variation (CV)	assay(%)
			intra-assay (%)	-
Mule IgG	0.08	-	2.97	3.56
Donkey IgG	0.07	-	2.50	4.09
Horse IgG	0.1	-	2.65	3.17
Dog lgG	0.39	+	4.12	5.65
Skunk IgG	0.13	-	2.46	5.10
Coyote IgG	0.09	-	3.45	5.15
Raccoon IgG	0.41	+	4.04	6.89
Ostrich IgY	0.15	-	2.69	5.50
Pig IgG	0.98	+++	3.87	6.37
Mouse IgG	1.07	+++	2.48	4.98
Bovine IgG	0.07	-	4.07	6.05
Rabbit IgG	0.38	+	3.40	6.61
Chicken IgY	0.14	-	2.61	4.05



Cat IgG	0.11	-	3.06	4.98
Rat IgG	0.09	-	2.78	3.95

Table 3 shows SpL that interacts with fewer immunoglobulins than its counterpart SpA and SpG.IgGs from pigs and mice reacted strongly with SpL. Other species as dog, raccoon and rabbit reacted weakly [5,7].

Immunoglobulins	XOD results	Binding affinity	Coefficient of	CV inter-
			variation (CV)	assay(%)
			intra-assay	
			(%)	
Mule IgG	0.95	+++	4.65	7.85
Donkey IgG	0.90	+++	2.95	4.07
Horse IgG	1.17	+++	4.96	8.03
Dog lgG	0.96	+++	4.11	7.38
Skunk IgG	1.13	+++	4.52	7.48
Coyote IgG	0.52	+	5.72	8.80
Raccoon IgG	0.46	+	3.14	6.90
Ostrich IgY	0.14	-	3.43	4.68
Pig IgG	1.45	+++	4.24	7.94
Mouse IgG	1.39	+++	3.77	5.09
Bovine IgG	1.16	+++	5.52	7.69
Rabbit IgG	0.90	+++	4.58	7.42
Chicken IgY	0.16	-	2.95	4.41
Cat IgG	0.11	-	3.84	5.00
Rat IgG	1.60	+++	4.98	7.06

Table 4. SpLG-SpLG Sandwich ELISA

The SpLG conjugate used for the standardization of this immunoassay was prepared by mixingup SpL-HRP and SpG-HRP. It combines the binding affinities of both proteins. Table 4 depicts his assay, where IgGs from ten different species interacted strongly with SpLG. It did not react with cat IgG and chicken IgY but reacted weakly with IgGs from coyotes and raccoons.

Table 5. SpLA-SpLA Sandwich ELISA

Immunoglobulins	XOD results	Binding affinity	Coefficient of variation (CV) intra-assay (%)	CV inter- assay(%)
Mule IgG	0.44	+	3.14	5.46



Donkey IgG	0.50	+	3.36	5.78
Horse IgG	0.75	++	3.38	6.04
Dog IgG	1.05	+++	5.13	7.43
Skunk IgG	1.01	+++	3.50	6.41
Coyote IgG	0.80	++	4.25	6.36
Raccoon IgG	1.25	+++	4.77	8.29
Ostrich IgY	0.45	+	3.05	5.67
Pig IgG	1.46	+++	3.88	6.11
Mouse IgG	1.50	+++	4.08	6.95
Bovine IgG	0.52	+	3.62	5.45
Rabbit IgG	1.05	+++	4.27	6.80
Chicken IgY	0.15	-	3.30	4.04
Cat IgG	1.05	+++	3.75	6.02
Rat IgG	0.50	+	2.96	4.63

Table 5 depicts SpLA interacting strongly with a range of immunoglobulins. Very high affinitywas detected between SpLA and IgGs from pigs, mice, and other few species.

Table 6. SpAG-SpAG Sandwich ELISA

Immunoglobulins	XOD results	Binding affinity	Coefficient of	CV inter-
			variation (CV)	assay(%)
			intra-assay	
			(%)	
Mule IgG	0.92	+++	3.78	6.74
Donkey IgG	1.05	+++	2.64	5.30
Horse IgG	0.95	+++	4.15	7.85
Dog IgG	1.26	+++	3.94	5.71
Skunk IgG	1.24	+++	3.29	4.78
Coyote IgG	0.99	+++	3.88	6.67
Raccoon IgG	0.95	+++	5.16	8.77
Ostrich IgY	0.45	+	2.42	3.21
Pig IgG	1.43	+++	3.89	6.60
Mouse IgG	1.41	+++	2.67	4.79
Bovine IgG	1.14	+++	3.35	5.16
Rabbit IgG	1.33	+++	4.38	6.84
Chicken IgY	0.15	-	2.96	4.43
Cat IgG	1.34	+++	3.17	4.83
Rat IgG	1.10	+++	2.89	5.01

Table 6 shows that SpAG is a versatile protein, which reacted strongly with 13 out of 15 IgGs. Itreacted strongly with the 86.6% of the Ig panel.



Table 7. SpA and SpLA sandwich ELISA.

Immunoglobulins	XOD results	Binding affinity	Coefficient of	CV inter-
			variation (CV)	assay(%)
			intra-assay	
			(%)	
Mule IgG	0.45	+	2.53	4.44
Donkey IgG	0.55	+	4.89	6.35
Horse IgG	0.68	++	3.67	5.38
Dog lgG	1.40	+++	3.03	4.71
Skunk IgG	1.21	+++	2.28	4.11
Coyote IgG	0.75	++	4.18	7.09
Raccoon IgG	1.47	+++	4.26	4.75
Ostrich IgY	0.53	+	3.30	9.68
Pig IgG	1.50	+++	4.14	7.47
Mouse IgG	1.59	+++	2.27	4.03
Bovine IgG	0.70	++	3.54	4.15
Rabbit IgG	1.05	+++	2.73	4.02
Chicken IgY	0.16	-	2.30	3.89
Cat IgG	1.45	+++	3.36	5.70
Rat IgG	0.53	+	4.09	6.83

Table 7 depicts a hybrid ELISA that demonstrates the binding affinity of both SpA and SpLA. IgG in this ELISA are more likely to interact with SpA. As demonstrated in previous ELISA thebinding affinity of SpA surpasses that of SpL. IgGs from dogs, skunks, pigs, mice, rabbits, and cats reacted strongly with SpA. But pig and mouse immunoglobulins had the highest binding affinities since they are capable of strongly reacting to both SpA and SpL. There is a report of the creation of a versatile hybrid recombinant SpLA [29].

Table 8. SpA and SpLG sandwich ELISA

Immunoglobulins	XOD results	Binding affinity	Coefficient of variation (CV) intra-assay (%)	CV inter- assay(%)
Mule IgG	1.25	+++	3.67	6.95



Donkey IgG	1.02	+++	4.08	8.05
Horse IgG	1.37	+++	3.85	5.24
Dog IgG	1.45	+++	3.95	6.00
Skunk IgG	1.23	+++	4.74	6.25
Coyote IgG	0.47	+	3.89	5.97
Raccoon IgG	1.30	+++	2.96	4.75
Ostrich IgY	0.52	+	4.55	5.90
Pig IgG	1.05	+++	3.06	6.66
Mouse IgG	1.37	+++	4.50	7.57
Bovine IgG	0.70	++	6.01	9.28
Rabbit IgG	1.15	+++	4.07	6.37
Chicken IgY	0.16	-	4.98	8.05
Cat IgG	0.46	+	4.82	7.42
Rat IgG	1.40	+++	5.86	9.23

Table 8 shows a type of immunoassay, where immunoglobulins serve as a bridge between two different bacterial proteins. SpA and SpLG react strongly with equine Igs, and IgGs of dogs, skunks, raccoons, pigs, mice, rabbits, and rats. It did not react with chicken IgY, which in fact is the negative control used to calculate the cut-off point.

SpA and SpAG sandwich ELISA

Immunoglobulins	XOD results	Binding affinity	Coefficient of	CV inter-
			variation (CV)	assay(%)
			intra-assay	
			(%)	
Mule IgG	1.18	+++	4.18	7.28
Donkey IgG	1.26	+++	3.78	5.95
Horse IgG	1.42	+++	3.06	5.70
Dog IgG	1.60	+++	2.79	4.86
Skunk IgG	1.33	+++	4.05	6.37
Coyote IgG	1.20	+++	3.80	6.21
Raccoon IgG	1.15	+++	3.68	5.07
Ostrich IgY	0.49	+	4.86	7.10
Pig IgG	1.67	+++	2.96	4.97
Mouse IgG	1.57	+++	4.00	7.67
Bovine IgG	1.08	+++	4.29	7.42
Rabbit IgG	1.24	+++	4.76	6.60
Chicken IgY	0.17	-	3.50	5.73
Cat IgG	1.13	+++	3.79	6.01
Rat IgG	0.48	+	4.86	6.52

Table 9 depicts immunoglobulins reacting strongly with SpA and SpAG. In this assay, exceptostrich IgY and IgGs from rats interacted with the immunoglobulin-binding protein.



Immunoglobulins	XOD results	Binding affinity	Coefficient of	CV inter-	
			variation (CV)	assay(%).78	
			intra-assay		
			(%)		
Mule IgG	1.15	+++	3.27	7.28	
Donkey lgG	1.24	+++	3.86	6.04	
Horse IgG	1.17	+++	3.56	5.86	
Dog IgG	1.48	+++	3.60	5.80	
Skunk IgG	1.20	+++	3.09	5.94	
Coyote IgG	0.93	+++	4.86	7.04	
Raccoon IgG	1.04	+++	4.06	7.96	
Ostrich IgY	0.65	++	3.75	6.87	
Pig IgG	1.55	+++	3.75	5.80	
Mouse IgG	1.68	+++	4.38	6.03	
Bovine IgG	0.70	++	4.47	6.78	
Rabbit IgG	1.32	+++	3.21	5.27	
Chicken IgY	0.18	-	4.81	8.08	
Cat IgG	0.37	+	3.44	6.80	
Rat IgG	0.52	+	3.86	5.11	

Table 10. SpG and SpLA sandwich ELISA

In this immunoassay both SpG and SpLA react with the entire panel of immunoglobulins as shown in Table 10. All IgGs react effectively with both bacterial protein except cat and rat IgGsthat reacted weakly.

Table 11. SpG and SpLG sandwich ELISA

Immunoglobulins	XOD results	Binding affinity	Coefficient of variation (CV) intra-assay (%)	CV inter- assay(%)
Mule IgG	1.25	+++	2.68	5.08
Donkey IgG	1.14	+++	2.95	4.86
Horse IgG	1.30	+++	3.15	5.76
Dog IgG	1.53	+++	2.69	5.06
Skunk IgG	1.13	+++	3.09	6.20
Coyote IgG	0.75	++	2.96	4.16
Raccoon IgG	0.42	+	4.52	8.15
Ostrich IgY	0.51	+	3.89	7.02



Pig IgG	1.38	+++	4.34	6.70
Mouse IgG	1.35	+++	3.66	5.81
Bovine IgG	1.05	+++	4.01	6.22
Rabbit IgG	1.42	+++	5.15	7.88
Chicken IgY	0.18	-	2.96	4.28
Cat IgG	0.25	-	4.75	8.10
Rat IgG	0.75	++	2.97	5.09

In the SpG-SpLG ELISA, IgGs from equines, dogs, skunks, pigs, mice, bovines, and rabbits strongly bound to both bacterial proteins as shown in Table 11. However, cat IgG was below thecut-off point.

Table 12. SpG and SpAG sandwich ELISA

Immunoglobulins	XOD results	Binding affinity	Coefficient of	CV inter-
			variation (CV)	assay(%)
			intra-assay	
			(%)	
Mule IgG	0.98	+++	4.13	7.58
Donkey IgG	1.03	+++	3.60	6.26
Horse IgG	1.25	+++	4.15	7.05
Dog lgG	1.31	+++	3.22	5.46
Skunk IgG	1.37	+++	4.14	7.05
Coyote IgG	0.75	++	2.89	5.27
Raccoon IgG	0.87	++	3.65	5.08
Ostrich IgY	0.52	+	3.49	5.75
Pig IgG	1.35	+++	4.06	6.35
Mouse IgG	1.40	+++	4.18	7.25
Bovine IgG	1.17	+++	3.79	7.17
Rabbit IgG	1.23	+++	3.88	6.70
Chicken IgY	0.16	-	3.05	5.12
Cat IgG	0.36	+	4.85	8.19
Rat IgG	0.70	++	3.45	6.67

The cat IgG binds weakly to SpG. Some authors have shown no reactivity at all [6]. However, the panel of 35 ELISAs in this study assure a 1+ binding between cat IgG and SpG. On the otherhand, SpG and SpAG strongly interact with IgGs from much species including mule, donkey, dog, skunk, pig, and others as shown in Table 12.

Table 13. SpL and SpAG sandwich ELISA

Immunoglobulins XOD results	Binding affinity		CV inter- assay(%)
-----------------------------	------------------	--	-----------------------



			intra-assay (%)	
Mule IgG	0.1	-	2.85	4.50
Donkey IgG	0.08	-	2.46	3.07
Horse IgG	0.11	-	3.15	4.84
Dog lgG	0.41	+	4.12	5.61
Skunk IgG	0.11	-	3.46	4.53
Coyote IgG	0.09	-	2.45	3.94
Raccoon IgG	0.42	+	3.46	5.83
Ostrich IgY	0.18	-	2.96	4.40
Pig IgG	1.15	+++	3.80	5.06
Mouse IgG	1.12	+++	2.48	3.98
Bovine IgG	0.09	-	2.77	5.57
Rabbit IgG	0.34	+	3.43	5.60
Chicken IgY	0.14	-	2.10	4.56
Cat IgG	0.10	-	2.51	3.90
Rat IgG	0.01	-	3.01	4.96

In this ELISA depicted in Table 13, the 3 bacterial proteins have the capacity to react stronglywith IgGs from several species including pig, skunk, and dog. Immunoglobulins from ten different animal species were below the cut-off point.

Table 14.	SpL a	and SpLG	sandwich	ELISA
-----------	-------	----------	----------	-------

Immunoglobulins	XOD results	Binding affinity	Coefficient of	CV inter-
_			variation (CV)	assay(%)
			intra-assay	-
			(%)	
Mule IgG	0.15	-	3.85	5.50
Donkey IgG	0.12	-	3.46	4.00
Horse IgG	0.17	-	2.25	3.73
Dog lgG	0.38	+	3.30	4.68
Skunk IgG	0.20	-	4.01	7.55
Coyote IgG	0.15	-	2.65	5.04
Raccoon IgG	0.40	+	4.76	9.83
Ostrich IgY	0.21	-	3.28	4.50
Pig IgG	0.95	+++	3.80	6.43
Mouse IgG	1.06	+++	4.47	7.98
Bovine IgG	0.11	-	3.77	4.97
Rabbit IgG	0.41	+	4.43	6.10
Chicken IgY	0.16	-	3.10	4.26
Cat IgG	0.14	-	4.08	6.20
Rat IgG	0.09	-	2.65	4.25



In this immunoassay only some species bind to the solid phase since the binding of SpL to immunoglobulins is limited as compared of that of SpA and SpG. AS shown in Table 14 only pigand mouse IgGs interact strongly with the bacterial receptors (SpL and SpLG).

Immuneriehuline		Dinding offinity	Coefficient of	O(t) in term
Immunoglobulins	XUD results	Binding affinity	Coefficient of	CV inter-
			variation (CV)	assay(%)
			intra-assay	
			(%)	
Mule IgG	0.15	-	3.85	5.50
Donkey lgG	0.12	-	3.46	4.00
Horse IgG	0.17	-	2.25	3.73
Dog IgG	0.38	+	3.30	4.68
Skunk IgG	0.20	-	4.01	7.55
Coyote IgG	0.15	-	2.65	5.04
Raccoon IgG	0.40	+	4.76	9.83
Ostrich IgY	0.20	-	3.28	4.50
Pig IgG	0.95	+++	3.80	6.43
Mouse IgG	1.06	+++	4.47	7.98
Bovine IgG	0.11	-	3.77	4.97
Rabbit IgG	0.41	+	4.43	6.10
Chicken IgY	0.13	-	3.10	4.26
Cat IgG	0.14	-	2.33	3.78
Rat IgG	0.18	-	2.86	3.90

Table 15. SpL and SpLA sandwich ELISA

This immunoassay like the just above characterizes by fewer interactions between the bacterial immunoglobulin-binding proteins and IgGs. Table 15 depicts the SpL-SpLA sandwich ELISA, where SpL does not react with immunoglobulins from species of donkey, horse, mule, skunk, ostrich, bovine, cat, and rat. It suggests that SpL may not be suitable for certain assays, such as the study of the presence of antibodies against certain zoonotic pathogens in a greater number of animals in a population [10].

Table 16. SpA and PLAG sandwich ELISA

Immunoglobulins	XOD results	Binding affinity		CV inter-
			variation (CV)	assay(%)
			intra-assay	
			(%)	



Mule IgG	0.76	++	4.18	7.28
Donkey IgG	0.80	++	3.78	5.95
Horse IgG	0.78	++	3.06	5.70
Dog IgG	1.10	+++	2.79	4.86
Skunk IgG	1.25	+++	4.05	6.37
Coyote IgG	1.19	+++	3.80	6.21
Raccoon IgG	1.30	+++	3.68	5.07
Ostrich IgY	0.53	+	4.86	7.10
Pig IgG	1.37	+++	2.96	4.97
Mouse IgG	1.39	+++	4.00	7.67
Bovine IgG	0.78	++	5.29	8.42
Rabbit IgG	1.04	+++	4.76	6.60
Chicken IgY	0.16	-	3.50	5.73
Cat IgG	1.35	+++	3.79	6.01
Rat IgG	0.38	+	4.86	6.52

In this ELISA SpA and PLAG interact strongly with IgGs from cats, rabbits, mice, pigs, raccoons, coyotes, skunks, and dogs as shown in Table 16. They react moderately with IgG frombovines, horse, donkeys, and mules.

Table 17. SpG and PLAG sandwich ELISA

Immunoglobulins	XOD results	Binding affinity	Coefficient of	CV inter-
			variation (CV)	assay(%)
			intra-assay (%)	-
Mule IgG	0.95	+++	3.25	4.79
Donkey IgG	1.05	+++	3.76	5.15
Horse IgG	1.10	+++	4.09	6.22
Dog lgG	1.35	+++	3.35	5.14
Skunk IgG	1.30	+++	3.78	5.53
Coyote IgG	0.80	++	4.04	6.03
Raccoon IgG	0.43	+	3.25	5.18
Ostrich IgY	0.36	+	3.80	5.23
Pig IgG	1.30	+++	3.95	6.35
Mouse IgG	1.35	+++	3.33	4.80
Bovine IgG	1.05	+++	3.56	4.96
Rabbit IgG	1.13	+++	3.07	5.35
Chicken IgY	0.15	-	3.45	5.67
Cat IgG	0.40	+	4.26	5.07
Rat IgG	0.80	++	3.20	5.50

This immunoassay resembles the previous one, in this test SpG and PLAG interact strongly with IgG from species of rabbit, bovine, mouse, pig, skunk, dog, horse, donkey, and mule as shown in Table 17.



Table 18. SpL and PLAG sandwich ELISA

Immunoglobulins	XOD results	Binding affinity	Coefficient of	CV inter-
-			variation (CV)	assay(%)
			intra-assay	
			(%)	
Mule IgG	0.17	-	3.85	5.50
Donkey IgG	0.15	-	3.46	4.00
Horse IgG	0.17	-	3.14	4.74
Dog lgG	0.40	+	2.67	3.65
Skunk IgG	0.14	-	3.01	5.54
Coyote IgG	0.18	-	3.65	4.52
Raccoon IgG	0.38	+	5.76	7.83
Ostrich IgY	0.14	-	2.55	3.40
Pig IgG	0.75	+++	3.86	7.06
Mouse IgG	0.90	+++	2.89	4.98
Bovine IgG	0.15	-	2.66	4.80
Rabbit IgG	0.36	+	3.05	4.10
Chicken IgY	0.14	-	3.10	6.35
Cat IgG	0.14	-	4.14	7.95
Rat IgG	0.12	-	3.70	5.18

Table 18 shows the binding affinity of an ELISA, where the bacterial receptor SpL binds to onlyimmunoglobulin G from fewer species of animals. It binds weakly to IgGs from rabbits, raccoons, and dogs and very strongly to IgGs of mice and pigs. SpL does not bind to the rest of the immunoglobulin panel. It supports the fact that in an ELISA the reagent bound to the solid phase is essential in immunodetection.

Table 19. SpLA and PLAG sandwich ELISA

Immunoglobulins	XOD results	Binding affinity	Coefficient of variation (CV) intra-assay (%)	CV inter- assay(%)
Mule IgG	0.39	+	3.41	5.25
Donkey IgG	0.44	+	3.22	4.61
Horse IgG	0.48	+	3.45	5.09
Dog lgG	0.75	++	4.27	5.80
Skunk IgG	0.95	+++	3.26	4.60
Coyote IgG	0.68	++	3.89	5.18
Raccoon IgG	1.10	+++	4.03	5.79
Ostrich IgY	0.33	+	3.68	5.79
Pig IgG	1.35	+++	4.00	5.24



Mouse IgG	1.40	+++	3.08	4.09
Bovine IgG	0.78	++	3.85	5.43
Rabbit IgG	1.05	+++	4.09	5.12
Chicken IgY	0.15	-	3.53	4.67
Cat IgG	1.15	+++	3.36	5.04
Rat IgG	0.94	+++	4.19	6.07

Table 19 shows SpLA and PLAG binding strongly to the panel of Ig molecules. They react strongly with antibodies from species of skunk, raccoon, pig, rat, mouse, rabbit, and cat.

Table 20. SpLG and PLAG sandwich ELISA

Immunoglobulins	XOD results	Binding affinity	Coefficient of	CV inter-
			variation (CV)	assay(%)
			intra-assay	
			(%)	
Mule IgG	0.79	++	4.13	6.88
Donkey IgG	0.95	+++	4.96	8.75
Horse IgG	1.05	+++	3.95	7.02
Dog IgG	1.16	+++	4.21	7.18
Skunk IgG	0.97	+++	4.50	8.11
Coyote IgG	0.70	++	5.02	9.18
Raccoon IgG	0.80	++	3.04	5.80
Ostrich IgY	0.36	+	4.43	6.57
Pig IgG	1.45	+++	3.75	6.90
Mouse IgG	1.30	+++	5.23	9.67
Bovine IgG	1.25	+++	5.16	10.05
Rabbit IgG	0.80	+++	4.24	6.42
Chicken IgY	0.16	-	3.98	5.43
Cat IgG	0.17	-	4.14	7.28
Rat IgG	1.45	+++	4.60	8.25

SpLG and PLAG react strongly with some Ig molecules from much species including horse, dog,rat, pig, and mouse as shown in Table 20. However, cat IgG does not react with one the bacterialproteins in this assay (SpLG).

Immunoglobulins	XOD results	Binding affinity	Coefficient of variation (CV) intra-assay (%)	CV inter- assay(%)
Mule IgG	0.92	+++	3.15	4.07
Donkey IgG	0.98	+++	3.67	4.18

Table 21. SpAG and PLAG sandwich ELISA



Horse IgG	0.90	+++	4.15	5.15
Dog IgG	1.35	+++	3.22	4.34
Skunk IgG	1.44	+++	3.06	4.67
Coyote IgG	0.80	++	3.45	5.05
Raccoon IgG	0.93	+++	4.08	5.91
Ostrich IgY	0.33	+	4.32	5.94
Pig IgG	1.35	+++	3.56	5.75
Mouse IgG	1.25	+++	4.05	6.10
Bovine IgG	0.95	+++	3.06	4.78
Rabbit IgG	1.08	+++	3.37	5.04
Chicken IgY	0.14	-	3.40	5.08
Cat IgG	1.09	+++	3.74	4.94
Rat IgG	0.62	++	3.31	4.08

Table 21 depicts that all immunoglobulins react effectively with SpAG-PLAG, except the ostrichIgY that binds weakly and the chicken IgY that does not react with any of the bacterial proteins and it is used as a negative control in this panel of 35 ELISAs.

Immunoglobulins	XOD results	Binding affinity	Coefficient of	CV inter-
5		5 ,	variation (CV)	assay(%)
			intra-assay	
			(%)	
Mule IgG	1.05	+++	3.59	5.78
Donkey IgG	0.98	+++	4.15	5.08
Horse IgG	1.14	+++	3.62	5.27
Dog IgG	1.45	+++	4.15	6.20
Skunk IgG	1.38	+++	4.06	5.67
Coyote IgG	0.97	+++	3.79	4.88
Raccoon IgG	1.35	+++	3.28	5.07
Ostrich IgY	0.50	+	3.20	5.08
Pig IgG	1.51	+++	3.75	5.36
Mouse IgG	1.33	+++	3.62	5.21
Bovine IgG	1.12	+++	3.30	5.07
Rabbit IgG	1.30	+++	4.33	6.08
Chicken IgY	0.16	-	3.54	5.59
Cat IgG	1.02	+++	3.95	5.14
Rat IgG	0.97	+++	4.90	6.74

Table 22. PLAG and PLAG sandwich ELISA

This is a very effective immunoassay. Fourteen out of 15 different immunoglobulins react with PLAG as shown in Table 22. A system like this brings the possibility or danger of causing sterichindrance. Nevertheless, it did not happen, and the fact that 86.6% of the immunoglobulins bound strongly to this hybrid protein proves it.



Table 23. PLAG and SpL sandwich ELISA

Immunoglobulins	XOD results	Binding affinity	Coefficient of	CV inter-
			variation (CV)	assay(%)
			intra-assay	
			(%)	
Mule IgG	0.23	-	3.85	5.50
Donkey IgG	0.15	-	3.46	4.02
Horse IgG	0.17	-	3.14	4.74
Dog lgG	0.40	+	2.67	3.65
Skunk IgG	0.14	-	3.01	5.54
Coyote IgG	0.18	-	3.65	4.54
Raccoon IgG	0.38	+	2.76	4.83
Ostrich IgY	0.14	-	3.35	6.40
Pig IgG	0.75	+++	2.86	4.82
Mouse IgG	0.90	+++	2.80	3.77
Bovine IgG	0.20	-	2.88	3.50
Rabbit IgG	0.39	+	3.05	5.10
Chicken IgY	0.14	-	2.95	3.89
Cat IgG	0.12	-	3.08	5.70
Rat IgG	0.12	-	2.88	4.60

Table 23 shows the PLAG-SpL sandwich ELISA is an interesting assay, because it is expected that since PLAG is involved, more higher affinities can be picked up. Unfortunately, this assay'ssensitivity is low because it uses a peroxidase conjugated SpL that fails to interact with many immunoglobulins.

Table 24. PLAG and SpA	sandwich ELISA
------------------------	----------------

Immunoglobulins	XOD results	Binding affinity	Coefficient of variation (CV) intra-assay (%)	CV inter- assay(%)
Mule IgG	0.65	++	4.22	8.15
Donkey IgG	0.70	++	4.57	7.90
Horse IgG	0.74	++	4.05	6.70
Dog lgG	1.20	+++	3.67	6.86
Skunk IgG	1.27	+++	4.4 4	8.19
Coyote IgG	0.80	++	3.56	5.80
Raccoon IgG	1.25	+++	5.01	9.33
Ostrich IgY	0.45	+	3.79	7.10
Pig IgG	1.30	+++	4.57	7.97
Mouse IgG	1.42	+++	3.06	5.75



Bovine IgG	0.45	+	4.90	8.74
Rabbit IgG	1.13	+++	3.76	6.03
Chicken IgY	0.15	-	3.55	5.91
Cat IgG	1.17	+++	3.69	6.54
Rat IgG	0.35	+	4.68	7.05

Table 24 shows an ELISA where SpA interacts strongly with the 46.6% of the panel of immunoglobulins (7 out of 15). SpA binds moderately to IgG of species of mule, donkey, coyote, and horse.

Immunoglobulins	XOD results	Binding affinity	Coefficient of	CV inter-
			variation (CV)	assay(%)
			intra-assay	
			(%)	
Mule IgG	1.03	+++	2.83	5.22
Donkey IgG	1.10	+++	2.95	4.89
Horse IgG	1.15	+++	2.86	5.65
Dog lgG	1.50	+++	3.05	6.38
Skunk IgG	1.44	+++	3.67	6.15
Coyote IgG	0.75	++	4.80	6.76
Raccoon IgG	0.40	+	2.89	5.73
Ostrich IgY	0.36	+	3.49	6.05
Pig IgG	1.53	+++	3.37	5.88
Mouse IgG	1.48	+++	2.81	4.80
Bovine IgG	0.99	+++	3.41	5.75
Rabbit IgG	1.02	+++	4.05	7.07
Chicken IgY	0.15	-	2.81	4.73
Cat IgG	0.15	-	3.08	5.14
Rat IgG	1.28	+++	3.90	6.01

Table 25. PLAG and SpG sandwich ELISA

Table 25 shows a very powerful assay where SpG interact strongly with 66.6% of the immunoglobulin panel (10 out of 15). SpG does not react with chicken IgY and cat IgG.

Table 26. PLAG and SpLG sandwich ELISA



Immunoglobulins	XOD results	Binding affinity	Coefficient of	CV inter-
5		5 ,	variation (CV)	assay(%)
			intra-assay	
			(%)	
Mule IgG	1.10	+++	3.55	7.04
Donkey lgG	1.22	+++	3.65	6.19
Horse IgG	1.28	+++	4.24	6.86
Dog IgG	1.30	+++	3.15	5.75
Skunk IgG	1.35	+++	4.28	6.30
Coyote IgG	0.48	+	3.70	6.05
Raccoon IgG	0.70	++	4.08	8.45
Ostrich IgY	0.20	-	3.66	6.71
Pig IgG	1.35	+++	3.58	5.99
Mouse IgG	1.45	+++	3.69	6.43
Bovine IgG	1.08	+++	3.54	5.07
Rabbit IgG	1.14	+++	3.78	6.09
Chicken IgY	0.17	-	3.34	5.70
Cat IgG	0.11	-	3.46	6.28
Rat IgG	1.25	+++	3.57	7.07

Table 26 depicts the PLAG and SpLG sandwich ELISA. It is an interesting assay because in itssolid phase it binds to 14 out of 15 immunoglobulins. On the other hand, the conjugate (SpLG-HRP) does not interact with the two avian immunoglobulins, neither the cat IgG, nor very weakly react with the coyote IgG. However, this system interacts strongly with IgG from species of horse, pig, rat, among other species.

Table 27. PLAG and SpLA sandwich ELISA

Immunoglobulins	XOD results	Binding affinity	Coefficient of	CV inter-
			variation (CV)	assay(%)
			intra-assay	
			(%)	
Mule IgG	0.68	++	3.55	6.72
Donkey IgG	0.75	++	4.88	7.77
Horse IgG	0.75	++	3.85	5.06
Dog lgG	1.15	+++	4.08	8.19
Skunk IgG	1.00	+++	3.45	6.13
Coyote IgG	0.80	++	4.60	7.36
Raccoon IgG	1.15	+++	3.85	5.09
Ostrich IgY	0.42	+	3.75	5.50
Pig IgG	1.26	+++	4.65	6.22
Mouse IgG	1.31	+++	2.96	5.18
Bovine IgG	0.80	++	3.19	5.58
Rabbit IgG	0.98	+++	4.34	7.20



Chicken IgY	0.16	-	3.08	5.35
Cat IgG	1.25	+++	5.24	8.64
Rat IgG	0.35	+	4.50	7.13

Table 27 shows the binding affinity of SpLA and PLAG to the immunoglobulin panel. Theyinteract effectively with IgGs of cats, rabbits, mice, pigs, raccoons, skunks, and dogs.

Immunoglobulins	XOD results	Binding affinity	Coefficient of	CV inter-
			variation (CV)	assay(%)
			intra-assay	
			(%)	
Mule IgG	1.25	+++	3.67	6.63
Donkey IgG	1.28	+++	2.53	5.29
Horse IgG	1.35	+++	4.45	8.15
Dog lgG	1.50	+++	3.20	5.02
Skunk IgG	1.44	+++	3.09	5.79
Coyote IgG	1.11	+++	4.88	7.66
Raccoon IgG	0.80	++	3.28	5.55
Ostrich IgY	0.37	+	3.13	6.09
Pig IgG	1.55	+++	5.24	8.46
Mouse IgG	1.60	+++	3.29	4.97
Bovine IgG	1.07	+++	3.18	5.06
Rabbit IgG	1.16	+++	3.77	6.01
Chicken IgY	0.18	-	2.85	4.79
Cat IgG	0.95	+++	4.45	7.13
Rat IgG	1.05	+++	3.43	7.05

Table 28. PLAG and SpAG sandwich ELISA

Table 28 represents an effective immunoassay capable of strongly interacting with IgGs of manyspecies. Twelve out of 15 immunoglobulins (80%) bind strongly to SpAG.

Immunoglobulins	XOD results	Binding affinity	Coefficient of variation (CV) intra-assay (%)	CV inter- assay(%)
Mule IgG	0.37	+	3.03	5.20
Donkey IgG	0.43	+	3.75	7.35
Horse IgG	0.52	++	4.32	6.08
Dog IgG	0.95	+++	3.22	5.07
Skunk IgG	0.90	+++	5.05	8.37

Table 29. SpA Direct ELISA



Coyote IgG	0.61	++	3.89	6.04
Raccoon IgG	0.96	+++	4.48	7.12
Ostrich IgY	0.35	+	3.75	6.18
Pig IgG	0.95	+++	3.87	5.75
Mouse IgG	0.98	+++	2.95	4.18
Bovine IgG	0.60	++	3.26	5.05
Rabbit IgG	0.90	+++	3.74	6.35
Chicken IgY	0.14	-	2.58	5.19
Cat IgG	1.05	+++	5.16	9.13
Rat IgG	0.39	+	3.80	6.15

Table 29 shows SpA that binds strongly to the 46.66% of the panel of immunoglobulins. However, it binds moderately to IgGs of horses, coyotes, and bovines.

Table 30. SpG Direct ELISA

Immunoglobulins	XOD results	Binding affinity	Coefficient of	CV inter-
			variation (CV)	assay(%)
			intra-assay	
			(%)	
Mule IgG	0.70	++	3.86	6.13
Donkey IgG	0.75	++	3.50	5.84
Horse IgG	0.87	+++	4.12	7.06
Dog lgG	0.95	+++	3.59	6.61
Skunk IgG	0.90	+++	4.03	7.35
Coyote IgG	0.35	+	4.29	8.08
Raccoon IgG	0.33	+	3.67	5.06
Ostrich IgY	0.07	-	5.25	8.66
Pig IgG	1.11	+++	3.44	6.15
Mouse IgG	1.05	+++	4.04	6.57
Bovine IgG	0.95	+++	3.94	7.32
Rabbit IgG	0.88	+++	4.68	8.02
Chicken IgY	0.07	-	2.95	4.74
Cat IgG	0.14	-	3.52	5.08
Rat IgG	0.96	+++	3.67	5.86

Table 30 shows a direct ELISA where SpG binds strongly to 53.33% of the immunoglobulinpanel (8 out of 15 Igs).



Table 31. SpL Direct ELISA

Immunoglobulins	XOD results	Binding affinity	Coefficient of	CV inter-
			variation (CV)	assay(%)
			intra-assay	
			(%)	
Mule IgG	0.09	-	2.84	4.41
Donkey lgG	0.10	-	2.50	4.15
Horse IgG	0.15	-	2.78	3.85
Dog lgG	0.33	+	2.36	3.60
Skunk IgG	0.14	-	3.01	5.73
Coyote IgG	0.14	-	2.80	4.08
Raccoon IgG	0.43	+	3.99	6.06
Ostrich IgY	0.09	-	2.54	3.07
Pig IgG	0.90	+++	3.80	7.43
Mouse IgG	0.98	+++	5.47	8.98
Bovine IgG	0.08	-	3.58	5.20
Rabbit IgG	0.34	+	4.67	7.23
Chicken IgY	0.06	-	2.40	4.65
Cat IgG	0.12	-	4.03	8.79
Rat IgG	0.08	-	3.15	5.02

Table 31 shows the direct ELISA where SpL strongly bind to 13.33% of the mammalian immunoglobulins. It does not bind to 66.6% of the panel of immunoglobulins.

Immunoglobulins	XOD results	Binding affinity	Coefficient of variation (CV) intra-assay (%)	CV inter- assay(%)
Mule IgG	0.34	+	3.53	5.05
Donkey IgG	0.41	+	3.88	5.61
Horse IgG	0.68	++	3.67	5.08
Dog IgG	0.95	+++	4.58	6.37
Skunk IgG	0.91	+++	3.85	5.03
Coyote IgG	0.63	++	4.77	7.18
Raccoon IgG	0.98	+++	3.75	5.89
Ostrich IgY	0.38	+	4.54	7.17
Pig IgG	1.06	+++	5.06	8.56
Mouse IgG	1.15	+++	3.05	6.40
Bovine IgG	0.46	+	4.64	5.98
Rabbit IgG	0.96	+++	3.87	5.82
Chicken IgY	0.15	-	2.35	4.80
Cat IgG	0.85	+++	3.36	5.70

Table 32. SpLA Direct ELISA



It depicts the direct SpLA ELISA, where the bacterial protein binds strongly to immunoglobulinsof various species of animals including dog, raccoon, and rabbit. As shown in table 32, indeed, itdoes not bind to the negative control: the chicken IgY. SpLA is a very versatile reagent that strongly binds to immunoglobulins from many animal species [29].

Table 33. SpLG Direct ELISA.

Immunoglobulins	XOD results	Binding affinity	Coefficient of	CV inter-
			variation (CV)	assay(%)
			intra-assay	
			(%)	
Mule IgG	0.61	++	3.61	6.84
Donkey IgG	0.72	++	3.97	5.69
Horse IgG	0.88	+++	5.06	7.05
Dog IgG	1.05	+++	4.15	6.40
Skunk IgG	0.94	+++	3.68	6.10
Coyote IgG	0.35	+	4.55	7.15
Raccoon IgG	0.40	+	4.76	7.90
Ostrich IgY	0.12	-	2.58	4.73
Pig IgG	0.95	+++	4.00	6.60
Mouse IgG	0.97	+++	4.57	8.85
Bovine IgG	0.88	++	5.86	8.05
Rabbit IgG	0.95	+++	3.55	6.30
Chicken IgY	0.15	-	3.10	4.78
Cat IgG	0.07	-	2.95	4.07
Rat IgG	1.18	+++	3.22	6.78

Table 33 depicts the indirect ELISA, where SpLG strongly interacts with 46.66% of the panel of immunoglobulins. It has been shown to be a versatile IgG-binding reagent. It bound to mouse and rat IgG, and many other immunoglobulins and antibody fragments [30].

Table 34. SpAG Direct ELISA

Immunoglobulins	XOD results	Binding affinity	Coefficient of variation (CV) intra-assay (%)	CV inter- assay(%)
Mule IgG	0.42	+	3.50	5.07



Donkey IgG	0.44	+	4.05	7.14
Horse IgG	0.65	++	4.15	5.90
Dog IgG	1.12	+++	3.28	5.32
Skunk IgG	1.18	+++	3.58	5.78
Coyote IgG	0.44	+	3.67	6.60
Raccoon IgG	1.05	+++	4.06	6.81
Ostrich IgY	0.35	+	2.74	4.78
Pig IgG	1.15	+++	4.79	7.67
Mouse IgG	1.09	+++	4.07	8.20
Bovine IgG	0.65	++	5.13	8.05
Rabbit IgG	1.12	+++	3.76	6.03
Chicken IgY	0.14	-	3.43	5.79
Cat IgG	1.19	+++	3.17	4.83
Rat IgG	0.58	++	3.45	5.26

Table 34 shows SpAG that binds strongly to 46.66% of the immunoglobulin panel. As compared with SpLG, SpAG interacts with the entire panel except the chicken IgY, and SpLG lacks affinity to IgY of ostriches and chickens and does not interact with the cat IgG.

Table 35. PLAG Direct ELISA.

Immunoglobulins	XOD results	Binding affinity	Coefficient of	CV inter-
			variation (CV)	assay(%)
			intra-assay	
			(%)	
Mule IgG	0.97	+++	4.22	7.16
Donkey IgG	1.05	+++	4.34	8.38
Horse IgG	0.94	+++	3.92	7.26
Dog lgG	1.00	+++	4.04	7.85
Skunk IgG	1.32	+++	3.50	6.37
Coyote IgG	0.65	++	4.60	7.28
Raccoon IgG	1.25	+++	3.68	6.34
Ostrich IgY	0.49	+	3.65	6.05
Pig IgG	1.45	+++	4.09	7.18
Mouse IgG	1.35	+++	4.75	8.40
Bovine IgG	0.72	++	4.38	6.47
Rabbit IgG	1.18	+++	4.15	7.03
Chicken IgY	0.15	-	3.65	5.70
Cat IgG	1.10	+++	4.08	6.74
Rat IgG	0.95	+++	3.15	4.78

Table 35 shows a PLAG direct ELISA. This hybrid immunoglobulin-binding protein binds West Ind. J. Immunol. 2023; Vol.2 (2), 18-46 | DOI: <u>https://doi.org/10.53069/WIJI/0000013</u>



strongly to IgGs from eleven species of animals, which represents 73.33%. In addition, it binds moderately to IgGs of several species such as coyote and bovine. This is a very versatile reagent, with the capacity to bind to immunoglobulins from many animal species.

The 35 ELISAs were highly reproducible, and this is a confirmatory result for some of the ELISAs that have been reported previously as direct (SpL, SpA, SpLA), and sandwich ELISA asSpG-SpLA assay [9,20,25]. The reason why we report that these tests were highly reproducible is the fact that their coefficient of variation (both intra-assays and inter-assays) were within the normal limits except for a few immunoglobulin samples. The intra-assay reproducibility was 98.09% (515 tests out of 525 of the samples had CV within the normal limit that were below 5%). The inter-assay reproducibility was 99.62% (meaning that 523 tests out of 525 tests had CV that were below 10%).

Most of the ELISAs are newly reported in this research. They were standardized after a detailedbasic ELISA protocol workout. Quantities of proteins being coated in the microplate, washing procedures, optimal sample concentration, optimal dilutions of the conjugates, and optimal molarity of the stop solution reagent were assessed.

It is recommended for further work to test the IgG-binding reagents in ELISAs for immunodetection of zoonotic microorganisms affecting a greater number of mammalian species, as the case of Borrelia burgdorferi. Another suggestion is the demonstration of the binding affinities of immunoglobulin-binding proteins to antibodies of many animal species by Westernblot analysis or dot blot analysis.

Conflict of interest: The authors declare no conflicts of interest exist.

Conclusions: The single and hybrid immunoglobulin-binding protein were effective in their binding capacity to immunoglobulins from a variety of mammalian species. The potential use of this proteins is in the arena of immunodiagnosis and immunoglobulin detection. Dot blot analysis proves effective in the detection of HIV anti-gp120 antibodies in several animal species including cats, rats, and positive human controls. These antibodies can be used as reagents in the development of immunodiagnostic tests or oral vaccines as the use of hyper-immune chicken eggs.

References

- Justiz-Vaillant A, McFarlane-Anderson N, and Smikle MF, "Bacterial Immunoglobulin (Ig)-Receptors: Past and Present Perspectives." American Journal of Microbiological Research, vol. 5, no. 2 (2017): 44-50. doi: 10.12691/ajmr-5-2-4.
- 2. Forsgren A, Sjöquist J. "Protein A" from S. aureus. I. Pseudoimmune reaction withhuman gamma-globulin. J Immunol 1966, 97(6): 822-7.
- 3. Kronvall G. A surface component in group A, C, and G streptococci with non-immune reactivity for immunoglobulin G. J Immunol 1973, 111(5): 1401-6.



- 4. Björck L, Kronvall G. Purification and some properties of streptococcal protein G, anovel IgG-binding reagent. J Immunol 1984, 133(2): 969-74. [4]
- 5. Björck L. Protein L. A novel bacterial cell wall protein with affinity for Ig L chains. JImmunol 1988, 15; 140(4):1194–7.
- 6. Richman DD, Cleveland PH, Oxman MN, Johnson KM. The binding of staphylococcal protein A by the sera of different animal species. J Immunol 1982,128(5): 2300-5.
- 7. De Château M , Nilson BH, Erntell M, Myhre E, Magnusson CG, Akerström B,Björck L. On the interaction between protein L and immunoglobulins of variousmammalian species. Scand J Immunol 1993, 37(4): 399-405.
- 8. Svensson HG, Hoogenboom HR, Sjöbring U. Protein LA, a novel hybrid proteinwith unique single-chain Fv antibody- and Fabbinding properties. Eur J Biochem1998, 258(2): 890-6.
- 9. Justiz-Vaillant AA, McFarlane-Andersonv N, Wisdom B, Mohammed W, Vuma S, etal. Immunoglobulin-binding Bacterial Proteins (IBP) Conjugates and their Reactivity with Immunoglobulin in Enzyme-Linked Immunosorbent Assays (ELISA). J Anal Bioanal Tech 2013, 4: 175. doi: 10.4172/2155-9872.1000175.
- 10. Stöbel K, Schönberg A, Staak C. A new non-species dependent ELISA for detection of antibodies to Borrelia burgdorferi s. l. in zoo animals. Int J Med Microbiol. 2002,291 Suppl 33: 88-99.
- 11. Cai SY, Wang YY, Yao ZJ. Engineered bacterial Fc receptors. Sci China B 1994,37(4): 454–61.
- 12. Zhang SY, Wei MX, Zhou ZY, Yu JY, Shi XQ. Prevalence of antibodies to Toxoplasma gondii in the sera of rare wildlife in the Shanghai Zoological Garden,People's Republic of China. Parasitol Int 2000, 49(2): 171-4.
- 13. Mebatsion T, Frost JW, Krauss H. Enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) using staphylococcal protein A for the measurement of rabies antibody in variousspecies. Zentralbl Veterinarmed B 1989, 36(7): 532-6.
- 14. Kelly PJ, Tagwira M, Matthewman L, Mason PR, Wright EP. Reactions of sera fromlaboratory, domestic and wild animals in Africa with protein A and a recombinant chimeric protein AG. Comp Immunol Microbiol Infect Dis 1993, 16(4): 299–305.
- 15. Guss B, Eliasson M, Olsson A, Uhlén M, Frej AK, Jörnvall H, Flock JI, Lindberg M.Structure of the IgG-binding regions of streptococcal protein G. EMBO J 1986, 5(7):1567-75.
- Akerström B, Björck L. A physicochemical study of protein G, a molecule with unique immunoglobulin G-binding properties. J Biol Chem 1986, 261(22): 10240-7.
- 17. Akerström B, Brodin T, Reis K, Björck L. Protein G: a powerful tool for binding anddetection of monoclonal and polyclonal antibodies. J Immunol 1985, 135(4): 2589- 2592.
- 18. Kastern W, Sjöbring U, Björck L. Structure of peptostreptococcal protein L and



identification of a repeated immunoglobulin light chain-binding domain. J Biol Chem1992, 267(18): 12820-5.

- 19. Wikström M, Sjöbring U, Drakenberg T, Forsén S, Björck L. Mapping of the immunoglobulin light chain-binding site of protein L. J Mol Biol 1995, 250(2): 128-33.
- 20. Vaillant AJ, Mohammed W, Vuma S, Kurhade A, Kurhade G (2016) Purification of Immunoglobulins and their Binding to a Bacterial Protein LAG-HRP Conjugate. J Veterinar Sci Technol 7: 288. doi:10.4172/2157-7579.1000288.
- 21. Neville DM Jr (1971) Molecular weight determination of protein-dodecyl sulfate complexes by gel electrophoresis in a discontinuous buffer system. J Biol Chem 246:6328-6334.
- 22. Justiz Vaillant AA, Akpaka PE, McFarlane-Anderson N, Smikle MP, Wisdom B (2012) Purification of Immunoglobulin Y (IgY) from the Ostrich (*Struthio camelus*) by Staphylococcal Protein a (Spa) Affinity Chromatography. J Chromat SeparationTechniq 3:127. doi: 10.4172/2157-7064.1000127.
- 23. Polson A (1990) Isolation of IgY from the yolks of eggs by a chloroform polyethyleneglycol procedure. Immunol Invest 19: 253-258.
- 24. Nakane PK, Kawaoi A (1974) Peroxidase-labeled antibody. A new method of conjugation. J Histochem Cytochem 22: 1084-1091.
- 25. Justiz-Vaillant A, Akpaka PE, McFarlane-Anderson N, Smikle MF (2013) Comparison of Techniques of Detecting Immunoglobulin-binding Protein Reactivity to Immunoglobulin Produced by Different Avian and Mammalian Species.West Indian Medical Journal 62: 12-20.
- 26. Ho DD, Kaplan JC, Rackauskas IE, Gurney ME. Second conserved domain of gp120is important for HIV infectivity and antibody neutralization. Science. 1988 Feb 26;239(4843):1021-3. doi: 10.1126/science.2830667.
- 27. Vaillant AAJ, Akpaka PE, McFarlane-Anderson N, Smikle MP, Brian W (2012) The Chicken and Egg System for the Development of Anti-Idiotypic Vaccines. J VaccinesVaccin 3:137 doi:10.4172/2157-7560.1000137.
- 28. Justiz-Vaillant A, McFarlane-Anderson N, Akpaka PE, Smikle MF, Ramirez N, etal. (2013) Use of Dot Blots Analysis in the Separation of Anti-HIV Antibodies in Animals. Journal of Chromatography & Separation Techniques 4: 181. doi: doi:10.4172/2157-7064.1000181.
- 29. Svensson HG, Hoogenboom HR, Sjöbring U. Protein LA, a novel hybrid protein withunique single-chain Fv antibody- and Fabbinding properties. Eur J Biochem 1998, 258(2): 890-6.
- 30. Kihlberg BM, Sjöbring U, Kastern W, Björck L. Protein LG: a hybrid molecule withunique immunoglobulin binding properties. J Biol Chem. 1992 Dec 15;267(35):25583-8.
- 31. Thibodeau L, Tremblay C, Lachapelle L. Une immunisation orale et parentérale avecl'immunosome-VIH induit une sécrétion d'IgA spécifique du VIH-1 dans la salive et la production d'IgA circulants chez la souris et le lapin [Oral and parenteral immunization with HIV immunosome induce the secretion of IgA specific of HIV-1 in the salivary and the production of circulatory IgA in mice and rabbits]. C R Acad Sci III. 1991;313(9):389-94.